

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,995	12/15/2003	Gerald Marron	132258 CMI-0001-100	132258 CMI-0001-100 2971	
34132 75	590 09/02/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 MARKET STREET			JULES, FR	JULES, FRANTZ F	
	IA, PA 19103-3508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3617		
			DATE MAILED, 00/02/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 00/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/735,995	MARRON, GERALD
Examiner	Art Unit
Frantz F. Jules	3617

•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frantz F. Jules	3617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Frantz F. Jules.	(3)					
(2) <u>Brian Ballas</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08092004</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative)]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Reed.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w l.)	ould render the	claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sign	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

FRANTZ F. JULES

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argument that Reed reference does not meet the claim limitation of a plug having the same cross-section as that of the rail is weak as the claim language requires that "the at least one connection plug having a cross-sectional profile that is substantially identical to a cross-sectional profile of the running rail". It was pointed out to applicant that any welded junction of two I-shaped cross-section beam rails in a frog casting for example would meet the claim limitation..

FRANTZ F. JULE PRIMARY EXAMIN